

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was sponsored by Interact Worldwide with the financial assistance of the European Union; however, the contents under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union. The facilitation of National and Provincial AIDS Control Programme (Punjab), PMRC (Lahore), and community leaders (union council nazims) is duly acknowledged.

CONTRIBUTORS

Both authors designed the study and conducted the analysis. AF supervised fieldwork. JC drafted the paper.

Authors' affiliations

A Faisel, Arjumand and Associates, House 116, Street 20, F-10/2, Islamabad, Pakistan

J Cleland, Centre for Population Studies, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 49–50 Bedford Square, London WC1B 3DP, UK

REFERENCES

- 1 **Monitoring the AIDS Pandemic Network (MAP) Aids in Asia**. Face the facts. 2004. http://www.mapnetwork.org/docs/MAP_AIDSinAsia2004.pdf.
- 2 **Arjumand And Associates**. Final report: identification and mapping of vulnerable groups. Islamabad: National AIDS Prevention and Control Programme, 2003.
- 3 **Family Health International, Pakistan**. National study of reproductive tract and sexually transmitted infections—survey of high risk groups in Lahore and Karachi. Islamabad: National AIDS Control Programme, Ministry of Health, Government of Pakistan and DFID, 2005.
- 4 **International Organization for Migration**, UNAIDS. "Migration and AIDS" *International Migration* 1998;**36**:446–68.
- 5 **Population Census Organization**. 1998 census report. Islamabad: Statistics Division, Government of Pakistan, 1999.
- 6 **Arjumand And Associates and Centre for Population Studies, LSHTM**. Study of the sexual behaviours and prevalence of STIs among migrant men in Lahore, Pakistan. Sponsored by Interact Worldwide with European Union funding, Islamabad, 2005.
- 7 **Sathar ZA**, Kiani MF. Some consequences of rising age at marriage in Pakistan. *Pakistan Development Review* 1998;**37**:541–54.
- 8 **Verma RK**, Collumbien M. HIV epidemic in India: the potential contribution of homosexual behaviour. *AIDS* 2004;**18**:1845–47.

Clinical Evidence—Call for contributors

Clinical Evidence is a regularly updated evidence-based journal available worldwide both as a paper version and on the internet. *Clinical Evidence* needs to recruit a number of new contributors. Contributors are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine and the ability to write in a concise and structured way.

Areas for which we are currently seeking contributors:

- Pregnancy and childbirth
- Endocrine disorders
- Palliative care
- Tropical diseases

We are also looking for contributors for existing topics. For full details on what these topics are please visit www.clinicalevidence.com/ceweb/contribute/index.jsp. However, we are always looking for others, so do not let this list discourage you.

Being a contributor involves:

- Selecting from a validated, screened search (performed by in-house Information Specialists) epidemiologically sound studies for inclusion.
- Documenting your decisions about which studies to include on an inclusion and exclusion form, which we keep on file.
- Writing the text to a highly structured template (about 1500–3000 words), using evidence from the final studies chosen, within 8–10 weeks of receiving the literature search.
- Working with *Clinical Evidence* editors to ensure that the final text meets epidemiological and style standards.
- Updating the text every 12 months using any new, sound evidence that becomes available. The *Clinical Evidence* in-house team will conduct the searches for contributors; your task is simply to filter out high quality studies and incorporate them in the existing text.

If you would like to become a contributor for *Clinical Evidence* or require more information about what this involves please send your contact details and a copy of your CV, clearly stating the clinical area you are interested in, to CECommissioning@bmjgroup.com.

Call for peer reviewers

Clinical Evidence also needs to recruit a number of new peer reviewers specifically with an interest in the clinical areas stated above, and also others related to general practice. Peer reviewers are healthcare professionals or epidemiologists with experience in evidence-based medicine. As a peer reviewer you would be asked for your views on the clinical relevance, validity, and accessibility of specific topics within the journal, and their usefulness to the intended audience (international generalists and healthcare professionals, possibly with limited statistical knowledge). Topics are usually 1500–3000 words in length and we would ask you to review between 2–5 topics per year. The peer review process takes place throughout the year, and out turnaround time for each review is ideally 10–14 days.

If you are interested in becoming a peer reviewer for *Clinical Evidence*, please complete the peer review questionnaire at www.clinicalevidence.com/ceweb/contribute/peerreviewer.jsp